## **REMARKS**

## Amendments to the Claims

Applicants have amended claims 3-7, which as originally filed claimed dependency to claim 2. Claims 3-7 now recite dependencies to claim 1. Applicants have made this amendment in light of the fact that claim 2 was absent from the claims as originally filed. Therefore, Applicants have listed claim 2 as "cancelled." Applicants have also cancelled claim 11. In addition, Applicants have amended claim 9 to depend from claim 1 for antecedent basis purposes. Applicants submit these amendments introduce no new subject matter. Applicants respectfully request that these amendments be entered in the instant application.

## Restriction Requirement

The Office Action, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121, sets forth a restriction requirement requiring Applicants to elect one of the following alleged inventions for prosecution on the merits, namely:

- Invention I: Claims 1-16 and 27, drawn to a sample holder, classified in class 422, subclass 104.
- Invention II: Claims 17-26, drawn to a method of using an automated analyzer, classified in class 436, subclass 44.

Responsive to the Office Action, Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, Group I corresponding to claims 1-16 and 27, for further prosecution.

Response to Restriction Requirement U.S. Serial No. 10/007,031 Page 7 of 7

## **CONCLUSION**

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (617) 248-7044 with any questions or comments if the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation would be helpful in expediting prosecution of the instant application.

Early favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Date: July 1, 2004 Reg. No. 44,244

Tel. No.: (617) 248-7044 Fax No.: (617) 248-7100

3087210

Respectfully submitted,

Ronda P. Moore, D.V.M.
Attorney for Applicants

Testa, Hurwitz, & Thibeault, LLP

High Street Tower 125 High Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110